

Performance of enzyme immunoassay and PCR for rapid diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection

Ahmed M Asaad, Ahmed Amer and Waleed A Abd El-Dayem*

Departments of Microbiology and Tropical Medicine* Faculty of Medicine Zagazig University

Abstract

Helicobacter pylori infection in humans is one of the most widespread infections today, and its cure prevents peptic ulcer recurrence. Various methods are available for detecting *H. pylori*, but all have limitations. During recent years, noninvasive diagnostic tests have gained in significance. This work aims to assess the performance of *H. pylori* antigen monoclonal enzyme immunoassay (EIA) and PCR for diagnosis of *H. pylori* infection in comparison with the standard methods (culture and histology). The study included 80 selected patients, who underwent upper endoscopy for evaluation of dyspeptic symptoms. During each endoscopic procedure, multiple biopsy specimens were obtained from the antrum for culture, histology and PCR analysis. Stool samples were collected from all patients for detection of *H. pylori* antigen by monoclonal EIA. In 38 (47.5%) of the patients' biopsy specimens, *H. pylori* was identified, of which 16 (20%) yielded positive results by culture and histology, 10 (12.5%) by culture only and 12 (15%) by histology only. No *H. pylori* was detected in the remaining 42 (52.5%) gastric tissue specimens. PCR amplification yielded positive results in 30 out of 38 patients with *H. pylori* infection as determined by the standard methods and negative results in 50 patients. The sensitivity and specificity of PCR were 78.9% and 100% respectively while the positive and negative predictive values were 100% and 84% respectively. By EIA, 36 out of 38 patients infected with *H. pylori* yielded positive results and 41 out of 42 non infected patients showed negative results. The sensitivity and specificity of EIA were 94.7% and 97.6% respectively while the positive and negative predictive values were 97.3% and 95.3% respectively. In conclusion, despite of the reduced sensitivity of PCR as a rapid diagnostic tool, it was at least as sensitive as culture for primary detection of *H. pylori* infection. In addition, it was concluded that monoclonal EIA, as a new rapid non-invasive diagnostic tool showed excellent performance with a high overall sensitivity and specificity as well as its logistical ease of use. Further studies are recommended to assess the performance of the monoclonal EIA as a diagnostic tool in children and as a long-term follow-up of patients after medical treatment.

References

1. Marcildon PA; Ciota I.M.; Zamaniyan FZ; Peacock JS and Giraham DY (1996): Evaluation of three commercial enzyme immunoassays compared with ¹³C urea breath test for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* infection, j. Clin. Microbiol.; 34:
2. Chisholm SA; Owen RJ; Teare EL and Saverymuttu s (2001): PCR-based diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and real time determination of clarithromycin resistance directly from human gastric biopsy samples, j. Clin. Microbiol.; 39: 1217-1220.
3. Makristathis A, Barouch w, Pashing E, Binder C, Apfalter P and Hirschil M (2000): Two enzyme immunoassays and PCR for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in stool specimens from pediafric patients before and after eradication therapy, j. Clin. Microbiol.; 38: 3710-3714.
4. Kabir s (2001): Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* in faeces by culture, PCR and enzyme immunoassay, j. Med. Microbiol.; 50: 1021-1029.
5. Hachem CY, Clarridge JE; Evans DG and Graham DT (1995): Comparison of agar based media for primary isolation of *Helicobacter pylori*, j. Clin. Pathol.; 48:714-716.
6. Domingez-Munoz JE; Leodolter A; Sauerbruch T and Malfertheiner p (1997): A citric acid solution is an optimal test drink in the urea breath test for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Gut.; 40: 459-462.
7. Ende AV; Hulst RV; Roorda P; Tytgat GJ and Dankert j (1999): Bvaluation of three commercial serological tests with^ different methodologies to assess *Helicobacter pylori* infection, j. Clin. Microbiol.; 37: 4150-4152
8. De Reuse H; Labigne A and Mengin- Lecreulx D (1997): The *Helicobacter pylori* *UREC* gene codes for a phosphoglucosamine mutase. j. Bacteriol.; 179: 3488-3493.
9. Lu j; Perng C; Shyu R; Chen C; Chong SK and Lee c (1999): Comparison of five PCR methods for detection of *Helicobacter pylori* DNA in gastric tissues, j. Clin. Microbiol.; 37: 772-774.